

## Kristine Scherer

---

**From:** [redacted]  
**Sent:** Sunday, August 20, 2023 4:50 PM  
**To:** Rick Danielson; Jason Zuckerman; Jill McGuire; rebeccagoforthbusch@gmail.com; Skelly Kreller  
**Cc:** Kristine Scherer; Clay Madden  
**Subject:** Question to Council Members regarding the Application Of Sucette Harbor to be answered at the next meeting addressing Ordinance 23-16

### Question to the Council:

Dear Members of the Council

Please explain, as you are mandated by the CLURO, how you can justify any conclusion that the Sucette Harbor application meets Criteria #9 & #10 of CLURO Section 4.3.3.8\* when the Sucette Harbor Developer's submitted proposal cannot meet the requirements of Section 7.5.9 because it is not located on a Highway or Feeder and it cannot meet the requirements of Section 7.5.8. because, among other things, the proposed commercial buildings area is seven times the allowable limit of 15,000 sq ft, a more than 90,000 sq ft departure from the allowable, and is in an area surrounded by residential zoning and is proposed to be collocated on a peninsular site itself with residential development, accessible from only one neighborhood street.

**\*For ready reference these two Criteria are listed below:**

**#9 Conformity with the objectives of these regulations and the purpose of the zone in which the site is located.**

**#10 Compatibility of the proposed use and site development, together with any modifications applicable thereto, with existing or permitted uses in the vicinity.**

Respectfully submitted,